Stochastic Processes 7. The discrete stochastic integral

Peter Pfaffelhuber

November 8, 2024

Doob decomposition

- Definition 14.8: X is called (F_t)_{t∈l}-previsible if X₀ = 0 and X_t is F_{t-1}-measurable, t = 1, 2, ...
- Proposition 14.9: $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$ is adapted. Then,

 $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{M} is a martingale and \mathcal{A} is previsible.

 $\mathcal X$ submartingale $\iff \mathcal A$ almost surely non-decreasing.

▶ Proof: Define $A = (A_t)_{t \in I}$ predictable by

$$A_t = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[X_s - X_{s-1}|\mathcal{F}_{s-1}].$$

Then, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{A}$ is a martingale.

Uniqueness: With $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A}$,

$$A_t - A_{t-1} = \mathbf{E}[X_t - X_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$$
 for all $t = 1, 2, ...$

Quadratic Variation

- Definition 14.10: X = (X_t)_{t∈I} square integrable martingale. The predictable process (⟨X⟩_t)_{t∈I}, for which (X²_t - ⟨X⟩_t)_{t∈I} is a martingale, is called the *quadratic variation process* of X.
- Proposition 14.11: If X = (X_t)_{t∈I} is a square integrable martingale, then

$$\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_t = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[X_s^2 - X_{s-1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}] = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[(X_s - X_{s-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}]$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[\langle X \rangle_t] = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[X_s^2 - X_{s-1}^2] = \mathbf{E}[X_t^2 - X_0^2] = \mathbf{V}[X_t - X_0].$$

Examples

• Let
$$S_t = \sum_{i=1}^t X_i$$
 be a martingale with $X_1, X_2, ...$

quadratically integrable. Then,

$$\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_t = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[X_s^2].$$

• Let $S_t = \prod_{s=1}^t X_s$ be a martingale with $X_1, X_2, ...$

quadratically integrable. Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle_t &= \sum_{s=1}^t \mathsf{E}[(S_s - S_{s-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}] = \sum_{s=1}^t S_{s-1}^2 \mathsf{E}[(X_s - 1)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}] \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^t S_{s-1}^2 \mathsf{V}[X_s]. \end{split}$$

Stochastic integral

Definition 14.13: *H* = (*H_t*)_{t∈I} previsible and *X* = (*X_t*)_{t∈I} adapted. Define the stochastic integral *H* · *X* = ((*H* · *X*)_t)_{t∈I} by

$$(\mathcal{H}\cdot\mathcal{X})_t=\sum_{s=1}^t H_s(X_s-X_{s-1})$$

• If \mathcal{X} is a martingale, then so is $\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X}$.

Indeed,

$$\mathbf{E}[(\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X})_{t+1} - (\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X})_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{E}[H_{t+1}(X_{t+1} - X_t) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$
$$= H_{t+1}\mathbf{E}[X_{t+1} - X_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$$
$$= 0.$$

Characterization of martingales

- ▶ Proposition 14.14: $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$ adapted with $\mathbf{E}[|X_0|] < \infty$.
 - $1. \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathcal{X} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{martingale} \hspace{0.1 cm} \Longleftrightarrow \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{is a martingale for every}$

 $\mathcal{H} = (H_t)_{t \in I}$ predictable;

X submartingale (supermartingale) ⇐⇒ H · X is a sub-martingale (super-martingale) for every H = (H_t)_{t∈I} predictable, non-negative.

▶ 1. ⇒ clear; ⇐: For t ∈ l let H_s := 1_{s=t} be predictable.
Since (H · X)_{t-1} = 0 holds, it follows that

 $0 = \mathbf{E}[(\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X})_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbf{E}[X_t - X_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - X_{t-1}.$

Quadratic variation of stochastic integrals

$$\langle \mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X} \rangle_t = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[((\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X})_s - (\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X})_{s-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}]$$

=
$$\sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{H}_s^2 (X_s - X_{s-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}]$$

=
$$\sum_{s=1}^t \mathcal{H}_s^2 \cdot \mathbf{E}[(X_s - X_{s-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}],$$

in particular

$$\mathbf{V}[(\mathcal{H}\cdot\mathcal{X})_t] = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{E}[H_s^2\cdot(X_s - X_{s-1})^2].$$

Stochastic integral and betting

Given, we bet on the behavior of a stochastic process

 $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t=0,1,2,...}$ If you know $X_0, ..., X_{t-1}$, you bet H_t on rising prices. Then

$$(\mathcal{H}\cdot\mathcal{X})_t = \sum_{s=1}^t H_s(X_s - X_{s-1})$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

is the profit realized up to t.

• If \mathcal{X} is a martingale, then so is $\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{X}$.

Petersburger Paradox

•
$$X_1, X_2, \dots$$
 iid with $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $S_t = \sum_{s=1}^t X_s$, as well as

$$H_t := 2^{t-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{S_{t-1} = -(t-1)\}}.$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{S})_t = \sum_{i=1}^t H_i(S_i - S_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^t H_i X_i \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 1$$

However, for the total bet $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} H_t$,

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty}H_t\Big]=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^k}(2^k-1)=\infty.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()