Stochastic Processes 6. Martingales in discrete time

Peter Pfaffelhuber

November 2, 2024

↓ □ ▶ ↓ □ ▶ ↓ □ ▶ ↓ □ ▶

# Repetition

- Probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ ; set of times  $I = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$
- A family  $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$  is called a a stochastic process.
- A family (F<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈I</sub> of σ-algebras with F<sub>t</sub> ⊆ F, t ∈ I, is called an filtration if F<sub>s</sub> ⊆ F<sub>t</sub> for all s ≤ t.
- (X<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈I</sub> is said to be an (F<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈I</sub> adapted if X<sub>t</sub> is measurable with respect to F<sub>t</sub>.
- $(\sigma(X_s : s \le t))_{t \in I}$  is called the generated filtration.
- For  $s \leq t$  and any  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbf{P})$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_t]|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_s].$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

# A simple martingale

• Example 14.1: Let 
$$X \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{P})$$
.

Then  $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in I}$  with

$$X_t = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

is a stochastic process. For  $s \leq t$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_t]|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_s] = X_s.$$

# Super-, Sub-Martingale

▶ Definition 14.2: Let X = (X<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈I</sub> be integrable. Then X is called

a martingale if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s$  for  $s, t \in I, s < t$ , sub-martingale, if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \ge X_s$  for  $s, t \in I, s < t$ , super martingale if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \le X_s$  for  $s, t \in I, s < t$ .

Equivalent, for discrete I,

a martingale, if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = X_{t-1}$  for t > 0, Sub-Martingale, if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \ge X_{t-1}$  for t > 0, Super-Martingale, if  $\mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \le X_{t-1}$  for t > 0.

# Example 14.4.1

► Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be independent, integrable with  $\mathbf{E}[X_i] = 0, i = 1, 2, ...$  and  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_1, ..., X_t)$ , as well as  $S_t := \sum_{i=1}^t X_i$ .

Then  $(S_t)_{t \in I}$  is a martingale, because

$$\mathbf{E}[S_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbf{E}[S_{t-1} + X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = S_{t-1} + \mathbf{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$$
  
=  $S_{t-1} + \mathbf{E}[X_t] = S_{t-1},$ 

If  $\mathbf{E}[X_i] \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ...,$  then  $(S_t)_{t \ge 0}$  is a submartingale.

# Example 14.4.2

►  $X_1, X_2, ...$  independent, integrable with  $\mathbf{E}[X_i] = 1, i = 1, 2, ...$ and  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_1, ..., X_t)$ , as well as  $S_t := \prod_{i=1}^t X_i$ .

Then,  $(S_t)_{t \in I}$  is integrable, and a martingale, since

$$\mathbf{E}[S_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbf{E}[S_{t-1}X_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = S_{t-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$$
$$= S_{t-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}[X_t] = S_{t-1},$$

If  $\mathbf{E}[X_i] \ge 1$ , i = 1, 2, ..., then  $(S_t)_{t \in I}$  is a submartingale.

Example 14.4.3

▶  $I = \{-1, -2, ...\}$  and  $X_1, X_2, ...$  iid, integrierbar, sowie  $S_t := \frac{1}{|t|} \sum_{i=1}^{|t|} X_i, \qquad t \in I$ and  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(\dots, S_{t-1}, S_t)$ . Then for  $t \in I$  $\mathbf{E}[S_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbf{E}\Big[\frac{1}{|t|} \sum_{i=1}^{|t|} X_i \Big| S_{t-1}, S_{t-2}, \dots\Big] = \frac{1}{|t|} \sum_{i=1}^{|t|} \mathbf{E}\Big[X_i \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{|t|+1} X_i\Big]$  $= \mathbf{E} \Big[ X_1 \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{|t|+1} X_i \Big] = \frac{1}{|t-1|} \sum_{i=1}^{|t-1|} X_i = S_{t-1}.$ 

In particular,

$$\mathbf{E}[X_1|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{E}\Big[X_1\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{|t|} X_i\Big] = \frac{1}{|t|}\sum_{i=1}^{|t|} X_i = S_t.$$

Example 14.5: Branching Process

► 
$$I = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, X_i^{(t)}$$
 iid with values in  $\{0, 1, 2, ...\},$   
 $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X_i^{(t)}]$ . Set  $Z_0 = k$  and

$$Z_{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_t} X_i^{(t)}.$$

 $\mathcal{Z} = (Z_t)_{t \in I}$  is a martingale  $\iff \mu = 1$ . Indeed:

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_{t+1}-Z_t|\mathcal{F}_t]=\mathbf{E}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{Z_t}X_i^{(t)}-Z_t|\mathcal{F}_t\Big]=(\mu-1)Z_t.$$

 $\mathcal{Z} = (Z_t)_{t \in I}$  submartingale (supermartingale)  $\iff \mu \ge 1$ ( $\mu \le 1$ ). Furthermore,  $(Z_t/\mu^t)_{t=0,1,2,...}$  is a martingale because

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_{t+1} - \mu Z_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mu Z_t - \mu Z_t = 0.$$

Example 14.6: Martingales derived from Markov chains

• Let *E* at most countable and  $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$  a stochastic

matrix,  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  bounded. Define a Markov chain via

$$\mathbf{P}(X_t = y | X_{t-1} = x) = p_{xy}.$$
$$\mathbf{E}[f(X_{s+1}) - f(X_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{x \in E} p_{X_s,y}(f(y) - f(X_s)).$$

Set

$$M_t = f(X_t) - \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{E}[f(X_{s+1}) - f(X_s)|X_s].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{E}[M_t - M_{t-1}|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbf{E}[f(X_t) - f(X_{t-1})|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \\ - \mathbf{E}[f(X_t) - f(X_{t-1})|X_{t-1}] = 0.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

# Functions of martingales

Proposition 14.7: X = (X<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈I</sub> stochastic process, φ : ℝ → ℝ convex, such that φ(X) = (φ(X<sub>t</sub>))<sub>t∈I</sub> integrable. If

1.  $\mathcal{X}$  is a martingale or

2.  ${\mathcal X}$  is a submartingale and  ${\varphi}$  is non-decreasing,

then  $\varphi(\mathcal{X}) = (\varphi(X_t))_{t \in I}$  is a submartingale.

Proof: For 1., by Jensen's inequality,

$$\varphi(X_s) = \varphi(\mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_s]) \leq \mathbf{E}[\varphi(X_t)|\mathcal{F}_s].$$

For 2.,

$$\varphi(X_s) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{E}[X_t|\mathcal{F}_s]) \leq \mathbf{E}[\varphi(X_t)|\mathcal{F}_s].$$