

Lecture: Prof. Dr. Peter Pfaffelhuber

Assistance: Samuel Adeosun

https://pfaffelh.github.io/hp/2024WS_measure_theory.html

<https://www.stochastik.uni-freiburg.de/>

Tutorial 4 - Set systems I

Exercise 1 (4 Points).

Let $\Omega = \{1, \dots, 5\}$. Determine the generated σ -algebra of:

- (a) $\mathcal{E} := \{\{1,2,3,4\}\}$,
- (b) $\mathcal{F} := \{\{1,2,3\},\{4\}\}$,
- (c) $\mathcal{G} := \{1,2,3,4\}$,
- (d) $\mathcal{H} := \emptyset$.

Solution.

- (a) $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{5\}\}$.
- (b) $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{1,2,3\}, \{4\}, \{4,5\}, \{1,2,3,5\}, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{5\}\}$
- (c) \mathcal{G} is not a set system. This means that there is no σ -algebra \mathcal{A} on Ω with $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}$ so there is no smallest one either. $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ is therefore not defined.
- (d) However, an empty set system is not a problem for the definition. The smallest σ -algebra without any constraints is always the trivial $\sigma(\emptyset) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$.

Exercise 2 (2+2=4 Points).

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$. Decide (with reasons) whether the following statements are correct:

- (a) If \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra, then $\{B \subset Y \mid f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is also a σ -algebra.
- (b) If \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra, then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) := \{f^{-1}(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is also a σ -algebra.

Solution.

- (a) We claim that the statement is true and check the properties of the σ -algebra:
 - (i) $f^{-1}(Y) = X \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.
 - (ii) We obtain the complement stability for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ from

$$f^{-1}(B^c) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) = f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(B) = X \setminus f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A},$$

therefore $B^c \in \mathcal{B}$.

(iii) We obtain the σ - \cup stability for a sequence $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$, since

$$x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(B_n) \iff \exists k \in \mathbb{N} : x \in f^{-1}(B_k) \iff x \in f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right).$$

The union on the left-hand side lies in \mathcal{A} , since this is a σ -algebra, and therefore $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

(b) Again, we claim that this is also a σ -algebra.

(i) Since $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{B}$, $X \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ holds.

(ii) Now let $A \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ with $A = f^{-1}(B), B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then applies

$$A^c = X \setminus A = f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}),$$

since $Y \setminus B = B^c \in \mathcal{B}$.

(iii) Let $A_1 = f^{-1}(B_1), A_2 = f^{-1}(B_2), \dots \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$. Then applies

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(B_n) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}),$$

since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

Exercise 3 (4 Points).

Let Ω be an uncountable set and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \subset \Omega \mid A \text{ or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}.$$

Show that \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra.

Solution.

(i) The set $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$. Indeed, by definition, $\emptyset^c = \Omega$ is uncountable (where \emptyset is countable!).

(ii) **Closed under complements:** Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then either A is countable or A^c is countable. If A is countable, then A^c is uncountable, hence $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$. If A^c is countable, then A is uncountable, hence $A \in \mathcal{A}$. In both cases, $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) **Closed under countable unions:** Let $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$. If any A_n is countable, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is countable. If all A_n^c are countable, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c$ is countable, hence $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is uncountable. In either case, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra.

Exercise 4 (4 Points).

Find an example of a Dynkin system that is not a semiring.

Solution.

One could consider $\Omega = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \Omega\}.$$

By definition 1.11, \mathcal{D} is a Dynkin system! (You can check this!) However, Definition 1.1(2) is not satisfied in that \mathcal{D} is not closed under \cap . Take for instance: $\{a, b\} \cap \{a, d\} = \{a\} \notin \mathcal{D}$.