

The background of the slide is a light blue watermark of the University of Bonn seal. The seal features a central figure, likely a scholar or saint, seated and holding a book. Above the figure are three portraits in a row. Below the figure are two shields: the left one contains three eagles, and the right one contains a grid of circles. The entire seal is encircled by Latin text.

Measure Theory for Probabilists

12. Basics of \mathcal{L}^p -spaces

Peter Pfaffelhuber

February 6, 2024

Definition of an \mathcal{L}^p -space

- ▶ For $0 < p \leq \infty$, set

$$\mathcal{L}^p := \mathcal{L}^p(\mu) := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ measurable with } \|f\|_p < \infty\}$$

for

$$\|f\|_p := (\mu[|f|^p])^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty \quad (1)$$

and

$$\|f\|_\infty := \inf\{K : \mu(|f| > K) = 0\}.$$

Hölder's inequality

- ▶ Proposition 4.2.1: f, g be measurable, $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$. Then,

$$\|fg\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \quad (\text{Hölder inequality})$$

- ▶ Proof: $p = \infty$ or $\|f\|_p = 0$, $\|f\|_p = \infty$, $\|g\|_q = 0$ or $\|g\|_q = \infty$: ok, so assume any other case and define

$$\tilde{f} := \frac{f}{\|f\|_p}, \quad \tilde{g} = \frac{g}{\|g\|_q}.$$

To show $\|\tilde{f}\tilde{g}\|_r \leq 1$. Convexity of the exponential function:

$$(xy)^r = \exp\left(\frac{r}{p}p \log x + \frac{r}{q}q \log y\right) \leq \frac{r}{p}x^p + \frac{r}{q}y^q,$$

and thus

$$\|\tilde{f}\tilde{g}\|_r^r = \mu[(\tilde{f}\tilde{g})^r] \leq \frac{r}{p}\mu[\tilde{f}^p] + \frac{r}{q}\mu[\tilde{g}^q] = 1.$$

Minkowski's inequality

- ▶ Proposition 4.2.2: For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

- ▶ Proof: $p = 1$, $p = \infty$ clear. Else, let $q = p/(p - 1)$ and $r = 1/p + 1/q = 1$, so Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_p^p &\leq \mu[|f| \cdot |f + g|^{p-1}] + \mu[|g| \cdot |f + g|^{p-1}] \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|(f + g)^{p-1}\|_q + \|g\|_p \cdot \|(f + g)^{p-1}\|_q \\ &= (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) \cdot \|f + g\|_p^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f + g)^{p-1}\|_q &= \|(f + g)^{q(p-1)}\|_1^{1/q} = \|(f + g)^p\|_1^{(p-1)/p} \\ &= \|f + g\|_p^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\|f + g\|_p^{p-1}$ gives the result.

$p \mapsto \mathcal{L}^p$ is decreasing

- ▶ μ finite, $1 \leq r < q \leq \infty$. Then $\mathcal{L}^q(\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^r(\mu)$.
- ▶ Counterexample for μ infinite: λ Lebesgue measure, $f : x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{x>1}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda)$, but $f \notin \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$.
- ▶ Proof: $q = \infty$ clear; otherwise since $\|1\|_p < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_r = \|1 \cdot f\|_r \leq \|1\|_p \cdot \|f\|_q < \infty$$

$$\text{for } \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} > 0$$

\mathcal{L}^p -convergence

- ▶ Definition 4.6: f_1, f_2, \dots in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ converges to $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ iff

$$\|f_n - f\|_p \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

We write $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^p f$.

- ▶ Proposition 4.7: μ be finite, $1 \leq r < q \leq \infty$ and $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in \mathcal{L}^q$. If $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^q f$, then also $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^r f$.
- ▶ Proof: clear since $\|f - g\|_r \leq \|f - g\|_q$.

Completeness of \mathcal{L}^p

- ▶ Proposition 4.8: $p \geq 1, f_1, f_2, \dots$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{L}^p . Then there is $f \in \mathcal{L}^p$ with $\|f_n - f\|_p \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.
- ▶ Proof: $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ summable. There is n_k for each k with $\|f_m - f_n\|_p \leq \varepsilon_k$ for all $m, n \geq n_k$. In particular,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty.$$

Monotone convergence and Minkowski give

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}| \right\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_p < \infty.$$

In particular $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}| < \infty$ almost everywhere, i.e. for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, the sequence $f_{n_1}(\omega), f_{n_2}(\omega), \dots$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} , hence converges to some f . Fatou gives

$$\|f_n - f\|_p \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_k} - f_n\|_p \leq \sup_{m \geq n} \|f_m - f_n\|_p \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$