

Probability Theory

8. Sums of independent random variables

Peter Pfaffelhuber

May 22, 2024

Convolution of probability measures

- ▶ Definition 6.17: P_1, \dots, P_n probability measures on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n$ their product measure. With
 $S(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1 + \dots + x_n$, the image measure

$$P_1 * \dots * P_n := S_*(P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n)$$

is the *convolution* of P_1, \dots, P_n

- ▶ Proposition 8.16: X_1, \dots, X_n independent. Then

$$(X_1 + \dots + X_n)_* P = (X_1)_* P * \dots * (X_n)_* P$$

and $\psi_{X_1 + \dots + X_n} = \psi_{X_1} \cdots \psi_{X_n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X_1 + \dots + X_n} = \mathcal{L}_{X_1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{X_n}$.

Proof: $(X_1, \dots, X_n)_* P = (X_1)_* P \otimes \dots \otimes (X_n)_* P$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{it(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX_1} \cdots e^{itX_n}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX_1}] \cdots \mathbb{E}[e^{itX_n}]$$

Convergence of sums

- ▶ Prop. 8.17: X_1, X_2, \dots independent, $S_n := X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

$P(\omega : S_n(\omega) \text{ converges as } n \rightarrow \infty) \in \{0, 1\}$,

$P(\omega : S_n(\omega)/n \text{ converges as } n \rightarrow \infty) \in \{0, 1\}$.

$(P(S_n/n \text{ converges}) = 1) \Rightarrow \text{limit is constant, almost surely.}$

- ▶ Proof: $(\sigma(X_i))_{i=1,2,\dots}$ independent and

$\{\omega : S_n(\omega) \text{ converges}\}, \{\omega : S_n(\omega)/n \text{ converges}\} \in \mathcal{T}$.

$$S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_m + \dots + X_n}{n} \in \sigma\left(\bigcup_{k \geq m} \mathcal{F}_k\right)$$

Kolmogorov's maximal inequality of

- ▶ Prop. 8.18: $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \mathcal{L}^2$ independent, $K > 0$. Then .

$$P\left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n X_k - E[X_k] \right| > K\right) \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V(X_n)}{K^2}.$$

Proof: Wlog $E[X_k] = 0$; $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$,

$$T := \inf\{n : |S_n| > K\} \Rightarrow P(\sup_n |S_n| > K) = P(T < \infty).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k^2] &= E[S_n^2] \geq \sum_{k=1}^n E[S_n^2, T = k] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E[S_k^2 + (S_n - S_k + 2S_k)(S_n - S_k), T = k] \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n E[S_k^2, T = k] + 2E[S_k(S_n - S_k), T = k] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E[S_k^2, T = k] \geq K^2 P(T \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Convergence criterion for series

- ▶ Thm 8.19: $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \mathcal{L}^2$ independent, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V[X_n] < \infty$.
Then, $\sum_{k=1}^n X_k - E[X_k]$ converges almost surely.
- ▶ Proof: Wlog, $E[X_k] = 0$, $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ applies according to Proposition 8.18

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup_{n \geq k} |S_n - S_k| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} E[X_n^2]}{\varepsilon^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, $\sup_{n \geq k} |S_n - S_k| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{f_p} 0$. According to

Proposition 7.6, there is a subsequence k_1, k_2, \dots with

$\sup_{n \geq k_i} |S_n - S_{k_i}| \xrightarrow[i \rightarrow \infty]{f_S} 0$. However, since

$(\sup_{n \geq k} |S_n - S_k|)_{k=1,2,\dots}$ is decreasing,

$\sup_{n \geq k} |S_n - S_k| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_S 0$.