

The background of the slide features a large, faint watermark of the University of Bonn seal. The seal is circular and contains a central figure of a seated woman holding a book, surrounded by various heraldic symbols and Latin text.

# Probability Theory

## 7. Kolmogorov's 0-1-Law

Peter Pfaffelhuber

May 5, 2024

## Independence and generators

- ▶ Proposition 8.10:  $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{i \in I}$  independent,  $\cap$ -stable set systems.

Then,  $(\sigma(\mathcal{C}_i))_{i \in I}$  are also independent.

- ▶ Recall: Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be  $\cap$ -stable and  $\mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{C}$  Dynkin system

$$\Omega \in \mathcal{D}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{D}, A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{D}, A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \dots \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

- ▶ Proof: Let  $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq_f I$  and o.E.  $|J| > 1$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{P}(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_n}) = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(A_{i_k}) \text{ for } A_{i_k} \in \mathcal{C}_{i_k}, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (*)$$

Fix  $A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_n}$  and show that  $\mathcal{D} := \{A_{i_1} \in \mathcal{F} : (*) \text{ holds}\}$  is

a  $\cap$ -stable Dynkin system.

## Indicator functions

- ▶ Corollary 8.11: A family of sets  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  is independent if and only if the family of random variables  $(1_{A_i})_{i \in I}$  is independent.

In particular,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} B_j\right) = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{P}(B_j)$$

for  $J \subseteq_f I$ ,  $B_j \in \{A_j, A_j^c\}$ ,  $j \in J$ .

Proof:  $\mathcal{C}_i = \{A_i\}$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_i) = \{\emptyset, C_i, C_i^c, \Omega\}$

# Grouping

- ▶ Corollary 8.12:  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  Family of independent  $\sigma$ -algebras,  $\mathcal{I}$  a partition of  $I$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_k, k \in K\}$  with  $\bigsqcup_{k \in K} I_k = I$ . Then  $(\sigma(\mathcal{F}_i : i \in I_k))_{k \in K}$  is also an independent system.

Proof:  $\mathcal{C}_k := \{ \bigcap_{i \in J_k} A_i : J_k \subseteq_f I_k, A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i \}$  is  $\cap$ -stable and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_k) = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_i : i \in I_k), k \in K$ .

## Terminal $\sigma$ -algebra

- Definition 8.13: Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  all  $\sigma$ -algebras. Then

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma\left(\bigcup_{m > n} \mathcal{F}_m\right)$$

is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of the terminal events of  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$   $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$

A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is called **P**-trivial if  $\mathbf{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

## Trivial $\sigma$ -algebras

- ▶ Lemma 8.14:

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$   $\sigma$ -algebra is  $\mathbf{P}$ -trivial  $\iff \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is independent of itself.

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a  $\mathbf{P}$ -trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $X$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable. Then  $X$  is constant, almost surely.

Proof: ' $\Rightarrow$ ':

$A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{P}(A) \wedge \mathbf{P}(B) = \mathbf{P}(A) \cdot \mathbf{P}(B)$ , so  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is independent of itself.

' $\Leftarrow$ ': For  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(A) = \mathbf{P}(A \cap A) = \mathbf{P}(A)^2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Let  $c := \sup\{x : \mathbf{P}(X < x) = 0\}$ , thus

$$1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}(X < c + \varepsilon) - \mathbf{P}(X < c - \varepsilon) = P(X = c)$$

## Kolmogorov's 0-1 law

- ▶ Theorem 8.15: Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$  be independent  $\sigma$ -algebras. Then,  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots)$  is  $\mathbf{P}$ -trivial.

Proof:

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \sigma\left(\bigcup_{m>n} \mathcal{F}_m\right) \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

According to Corollary 8.12:  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_n)$  independent,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . This also means that  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{T})$  are independent,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and therefore also  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots)$ .

Again with Corollary 8.12 it follows that  $(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T})$  are independent and, since  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_0$ , it also follows that  $\mathcal{T}$  is independent of itself. Therefore, the assertion follows from Lemma 8.14.